
Mahdi Maaref and Zaher M. Kassas, Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract—A ground vehicle navigation approach in global navigation satellite system (GNSS)-challenged environments is developed, which uses signals of opportunity (SOPs) in a closed-loop map-matching fashion. The proposed navigation approach employs a particle filter that estimates the ground vehicle’s state by fusing pseudoranges drawn from ambient SOP transmitters with road data stored in commercial maps. The problem considered assumes the ground vehicle to have a priori knowledge about its initial states as well as the position of SOPs. The proposed closed-loop approach estimates the vehicle’s states for subsequent time as it navigates without GNSS signals. In this approach, a particle filter is employed to continuously estimate the vehicle’s position and velocity along with the clock error states of the vehicle-mounted receiver and SOP transmitters. Simulation and experimental results with cellular long-term evolution (LTE) SOPs are presented, evaluating the efficacy and accuracy of the proposed framework in different driving environments. The experimental results demonstrate a position root-mean-square error (RMSE) of: 1.6 m over a 825 m trajectory in an urban environment, 3.9 m over a 1.5 km trajectory in a suburban environment with 2 cellular LTE SOPs, and 3.6 m over a 345 m trajectory in a challenging urban environment with 2 cellular LTE SOPs. It is demonstrated that incorporating the proposed map-matching algorithm reduced the position RMSE by 74.88%, 58.15%, and 46.18% in these three environments, respectively, from the RMSE obtained by an LTE-only navigation solution.

Index Terms—Signals of opportunity, autonomous ground vehicle, map-matching, particle filter.

I. INTRODUCTION

AUTONOMOUS ground vehicles (AGVs), also known as self-driving cars, promise to bring an economic and a transportation revolution and to improve the quality of life. AGVs are predicted to annually prevent 5M accidents and 2M injuries, conserve 7B liters of fuel, and save 30K lives and $190B in healthcare costs associated with accidents in the U.S. [1]. As ground vehicles progress towards fully autonomy, they will demand an extremely reliable and accurate navigation system [2], [3]. Today’s car navigation systems fuse multimodal information from global navigation satellite systems (GNSS) receivers, vehicle motion and proximity sensors, vehicle kinematic models, and digital maps [4]. GNSS receivers are used to aid onboard dead-reckoning (DR)-type sensors (e.g., inertial navigation system (INS) and lidar) and to provide a navigation solution in a global frame, which gets matched to the digital map [5]–[8]. Map-matching is the process of associating the vehicle’s navigation solution with a spatial road map [9], [10]. Map-matching algorithms enhance the navigation solution by incorporating precise road network data and a priori information of road features [11]. Map suppliers have dedicated considerable attention recently to develop highly accurate digital maps to meet the requirements of AGVs [12].

On-line and off-line map-matching approaches match the vehicle’s position estimate, produced by GNSS receivers and onboard DR sensors, with the digital road map. However, current navigation systems will not meet the stringent reliability and accuracy demands of AGVs, due to their heavy reliance on GNSS signals. These signals get severely attenuated in urban environments and are susceptible to unintentional and intentional interference (i.e., jamming) and malicious spoofing [13]–[15]. Without GNSS signals, errors in DR sensors accumulate, compromising the safe and efficient operation of the AGV.

Recently, signals of opportunity (SOPs) have been used to overcome GNSS drawbacks [16]–[19]. Recent research have demonstrated how to exploit SOPs (e.g., cellular signals [20]–[23], digital television signals [24], [25], and AM/FM signals [26], [27]) to produce a navigation solution in a standalone fashion and in an integrated fashion, aiding INS and lidar [28]–[31]. SOPs are abundant and are free to use. Moreover, cellular SOPs, in particular, inherently possess desirable characteristics for navigation purposes: (i) ubiquity, (ii) high received power, (iii) large transmission bandwidth, (iv) wide range of transmission frequencies, and (v) geometric diversity [32].

This paper considers the following practical scenario. A ground vehicle is equipped with a GNSS receiver and a separate receiver capable of producing pseudoranges to ambient SOP transmitters. When the vehicle enters a GNSS-challenged environments (e.g., a deep urban canyon or an environment under a malicious jamming attack on the GNSS frequency band), GNSS signals are no longer usable or reliable. In the absence of GNSS measurements, the accumulated errors of DR-type sensors grow unboundedly. However, SOPs can be used as an aiding source to bound the navigation errors [28], [31]. Exploiting ambient SOPs in the environment alleviates the need for costly, bulky, and computationally intensive sensors (e.g., INS, lidar, and camera). Nevertheless, if the vehicle

This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under Grant 1566240 and in part by the Office of Naval Research (ONR) under Grant N00014-16-1-2809.

M. Maaref and Z. Kassas are with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, The University of California, Riverside. Address: 900 University Ave., 319 Winston Chung Hall, Riverside, California 92521, USA (email: mmaaref@ucr.edu and zkassas@ieee.org).
This paper proposes a framework for producing a ground vehicle navigation via a closed-loop map-matching algorithm. The proposed framework operates in two modes: Mode 1 triggers when GNSS signals are available and fuses the GNSS-derived estimates, SOP pseudorange measurements, and the digital road map and Mode 2 triggers when GNSS signals are unavailable or unusable and fuses SOP pseudorange measurements and the digital road map. In Mode 2, the proposed framework assumes the vehicle (i) to have initial knowledge of its own states (e.g., from its navigation system just before GNSS signals became unavailable) and (ii) to be equipped with: (i) a receiver capable of producing pseudorange measurements to ambient SOPs (e.g., [22], [25], [33], [34]) and (ii) a digital map of the road segments and SOP locations.

This paper makes two contributions. First, a novel closed-loop map-matching framework for navigation in both GNSS-available and GNSS-challenged environments is developed. The framework simultaneously estimates the vehicle’s position and velocity states along with the difference between the vehicle-mounted receiver’s dynamic stochastic clock error states (bias and drift) and the clock error states of each SOP clock. A computationally efficient particle filter that fuses digital map data and SOP pseudoranges is adopted. Second, the accuracy and efficacy of the proposed framework is analyzed through four simulation and three experimental tests. The experimental tests used real cellular long-term evolution (LTE) SOPs and were conducted on a ground vehicle in different environments, including (i) an urban environment where multipath severely affected the received LTE signals, (ii) a suburban environment where LTE SOPs had a poor geometric diversity and the vehicle had limited line-of-sight (LOS) to LTE towers, and (iii) a GNSS-challenged urban environment with multiple junctions and GNSS cutoff conditions, while using pseudorange measurements from only 2 LTE SOPs. The experimental results in these three environment show respectively a (i) position root-mean squared error (RMSE) of 1.6 m over a 825 m trajectory in the urban environment with 5 LTE SOPs, (ii) position RMSE of 3.9 m over a 1.5 km trajectory in the suburban environment with 2 LTE SOPs, and (iii) position RMSE of 3.6 m over a 345 m trajectory in the challenging urban environment with 2 LTE SOPs. Moreover, it is demonstrated that incorporating the proposed map-matching algorithm reduced the position RMSE by 74.88% and 58.15% in urban and suburban environments, respectively, from the RMSE obtained by an LTE-only navigation.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section II surveys related research on map-matching strategies for ground vehicle navigation and highlights the difference between existing approaches and the proposed approach. Section III describes the vehicle and SOP dynamic models, the SOP pseudorange measurement model, and the digital map model. Section IV develops the map-matching framework that fuses the digital map with SOP pseudorange measurements. Sections V and VI present simulation and experimental results, respectively, evaluating the performance of the proposed framework. Concluding remarks and future work are given in Section VII.

II. RELATED WORK

Map-matching for ground vehicle navigation has been studied in the literature. In [9], a map-matching framework was proposed that provided integrity provision at the lane-level. The framework defined the integrity as the capability of the system to detect performance anomalies and warn the user whenever the system should not be used. The proposed method fused measurements from a GNSS receiver, an odometer, and a gyroscope with road information through a multiple-hypothesis particle filter. In [35], three factors of currently used algorithms in floating car data (FCD) were discussed: distance, speed-direction, and connectivity. The characteristics of highway networks were analysed, and a map-matching approach was proposed based on the gradual-removal of candidate roads. In [36], a ground vehicle navigation framework was proposed that performs map-matching by adaptively choosing the appropriate timing and matching method according to the complexity of the local network to which the positioning point belongs. This method is experimentally shown to be beneficial due to the fact that using fixed time interval can result in a lack of efficiency and accuracy if the initial point is not correctly matched. In [37], a cooperative map-matching approach for vehicle positioning was introduced, which established a vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) communication approach in a vehicular ad hoc network (VANET) to exchange GNSS-derived information between vehicles. In [38], a method for accurate and efficient map-matching for challenging environments was presented. This method considered a number of heuristics rooted in a set of observations from real-life usage scenarios (e.g., traffic distribution on different roads). The framework used the cellular location information and was shown to be able to achieve better results compared to traditional hidden Markov model (HMM)-based map-matching frameworks, in cases where the cellular-derived localization error was on the order of kilometers. In [11], a map-matching approach was proposed that uses an HMM tailored for noisy and sparse data to generate partial map-matched paths in an online manner. In [39], a particle filter was employed to fuse the magnetometer data with the digital map to estimate the vehicle’s position and heading. In [40], a framework was presented that used a multiple-hypothesis algorithm for fusing the navigation solution of a global positioning system (GPS)-INS system with digital maps. The framework included an algorithm to evaluate whether the map-matched results could be used to calibrate the sensors in order to increase the positioning accuracy. Since the number of hypothesis nodes in map-matching algorithms grows exponentially with time, the framework proposed a strategy to reduce the number of hypotheses by pruning the branches of the multiple-hypothesis tree and eliminating and merging the redundant nodes. In [41], reusability of historical information was evaluated along with spatial-temporal patterns in the arrangement of the projected GNSS points to the road networks. To this end, a machine learning framework was developed that detected and validated the spatial-temporal patterns in projection vectors produced by
map-matching. The problem of using the digital map data to correct the sensor error has been also studied in the literature [42]–[44]. Due to the fact that the errors in digital maps is typically smaller than sensor error, the digital map information can be used to correct the accumulated error in DR-type sensors (e.g., INS) [40].

In contrast to existing map-matching approaches, to the author’s knowledge, this is the first paper that develops a map-matching approach for SOP-derived estimates, while dealing with the problem of unknown clock error states of the SOP transmitters. Unlike GNSS-based navigation where the clock error states of GNSS satellites are known by decoding the navigation message, the complexity with SOPs is that their clock error states are unknown and must be estimated. In this paper, a closed-loop map-matching approach is developed, where the refined vehicle position estimate obtained from fusing SOP pseudoranges and digital road maps is used in a feedback to refine estimates of the clock error states. Fig. 1 compares the existing GNSS-based map-matching approaches with the proposed closed-loop SOP-based map-matching framework. In the GNSS-based approaches (e.g., [10]) the algorithm is open-loop with inputs being GNSS signals, digital maps, and navigation sensors (if any) and the output being the refined navigation solution. In the proposed method, however, the algorithm is closed-loop where the navigation solution is fed back to refine estimates of the receiver and SOPs clock error states.

![Fig. 1. Comparison between the proposed framework and existing map-matching approaches.](image)

This section describes the dynamic model of the vehicle, SOP transmitters [45], [46], and geographical digital maps. Also, it specifies the pseudorange measurement model made by the vehicle-mounted receiver on SOP transmitters.

### III. Model Description

This section describes the dynamic model of the vehicle, SOP transmitters [45], [46], and geographical digital maps. Also, it specifies the pseudorange measurement model made by the vehicle-mounted receiver on SOP transmitters.

#### A. Vehicle and SOP Dynamics Model

The navigation environment is assumed to comprise \( N_s \) terrestrial SOP transmitters, denoted \( \{S_n\}_{n=1}^{N_s} \). It is assumed that the vehicle knows the location of the SOP transmitters (e.g., from a local or a cloud-hosted database). In practice, one could map the SOP transmitter locations \( a \text{ priori} \) via several approaches, such as radio mapping or satellite images and store them in a database, which is continuously maintained. This has been the subject of prior research (e.g., [25], [46], [47]). In this paper, LTE cellular SOPs were used to experimentally evaluate the performance of the proposed approach, as discussed in Section VI. This was due to the availability of an LTE receiver that provided pseudorange measurements. However, the proposed framework is agnostic to the type of SOP and could accommodate other SOP types (e.g., cellular CDMA, digital television, AM/FM radio) from which pseudorange measurements are extracted via appropriate receivers.

Each SOP tower is assumed to be spatially stationary. Although the SOP locations are assumed to be known, their clock error states (i.e., clock bias and drift) are unknown, dynamic, and stochastic; hence, they must be estimated continuously. The dynamics of the clock bias and drift is typically modeled as a double-integrator driven by a process noise [48]–[51]. This model is valid over a relatively short periods of time for both the SOP transmitters and the vehicle-mounted receiver. Since the SOP pseudorange measurement is parameterized by the difference between the receiver’s and the SOP’s clock biases [45], one only needs to estimate the difference in clock biases and clock drifts, reducing the number of clock error states that need to be estimated from \( 2N_s + 2 \) to \( 2N_s \). Hence, each SOP will be associated with a state vector \( \Delta x_{clk,s_n} \) that consists of the difference between its clock bias and drift with the clock bias and drift of the vehicle-mounted receiver, i.e.,

\[
\Delta x_{clk,s_n} = \begin{bmatrix} c \Delta \delta t_n, c \Delta \dot{\delta t}_n \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad n = 1, \ldots, N_s,
\]

where \( c \) is the speed of light, \( \Delta \delta t_n = \delta t_r - \delta t_{s_n} \) is the difference between the receiver’s clock bias \( \delta t_r \) and the \( n \)-th SOP’s clock bias \( \delta t_{s_n} \), and \( \Delta \dot{\delta t}_n = \dot{\delta t}_r - \dot{\delta t}_{s_n} \) is the difference between the receiver’s clock drift \( \dot{\delta t}_r \) and the \( n \)-th SOP’s clock drift \( \dot{\delta t}_{s_n} \).

Accordingly, the discrete-time dynamic model of the clock error states can be expressed as

\[
\Delta x_{clk}(k + 1) = \Phi_{clk} \Delta x_{clk}(k) + w_{clk}(k),
\]

where \( \Delta x_{clk} = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x_{clk,s_1}^T, \ldots, \Delta x_{clk,s_{N_s}}^T \end{bmatrix}^T \), \( T \) is the sampling time, and \( w_{clk} \) is the process noise, which is modeled as a discrete-time zero-mean white random sequence with covariance

\[
Q_{clk} = \Gamma Q_{clk,r,s} \Gamma^T,
\]

where

\[
\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} I_{2 \times 2} & -I_{2 \times 2} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
I_{2 \times 2} & 0 & -I_{2 \times 2} & \ldots & 0 \\
: & : & : & \ddots & : \\
I_{2 \times 2} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -I_{2 \times 2} \end{bmatrix}.
\]
and $Q_{clk,s_n}$, $Q_{clk,r}$, $Q_{clk,s_1}$, …, $Q_{clk,s_{N_S}}$. Here, $Q_{clk,s_n}$ is the process noise covariance of the $n$-th SOP, which is given by

$$Q_{clk,s_n} = c^2 \begin{bmatrix} S_{\bar{w}_{\delta,t_n}} T + S_{\bar{w}_{\delta,t_n}} T^3 2 S_{\bar{w}_{\delta,t_n}} T^2 2 S_{\bar{w}_{\delta,t_n}} T^2 2 S_{\bar{w}_{\delta,t_n}} T^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $S_{\bar{w}_{\delta,t_n}}$ and $S_{\bar{w}_{\delta,t_n}}$ are the power spectra of the continuous-time process noise $\bar{w}_{\delta,t_n}$ and $\bar{w}_{\delta,t_n}$, driving the clock bias and clock drift, respectively [46], [51]. Note that $Q_{clk,r}$ has the same form as $Q_{clk,s_n}$, except that $S_{\bar{w}_{\delta,t_n}}$ and $S_{\bar{w}_{\delta,t_n}}$ are replaced by the receiver-specific spectra $S_{\bar{w}_{\delta,t_n}},$ and $S_{\bar{w}_{\delta,t_n}}$, respectively. The spectra $S_{\bar{w}_{\delta,t_n}}$ and $S_{\bar{w}_{\delta,t_n}}$ can be related to the power-law coefficients, $\{h_\alpha\}_{\alpha=-2}^2$, which have been shown through laboratory experiments to characterize the power spectral density of the fractional frequency deviation $y(t)$ of an oscillator from nominal frequency, namely, $S_y(f) = \sum_{\alpha=-2}^2 h_\alpha f^\alpha$ [48], [51]. It is common to approximate such relationship by considering only the frequency random-walk coefficient $h_{-2}$ and the white frequency coefficient $h_0$, which lead to $S_{\bar{w}_{\delta,t_n}} \approx \frac{2\pi}{2} h_{-2}$ and $S_{\bar{w}_{\delta,t_n}} \approx 2\pi^2 h_{-2}$ [49], [50].

The vehicle’s state vector $x_r$ consists of the vehicle’s two-dimensional (2-D) position $p_r = [p_{rx}, p_{ry}]^T$ and velocity $v_r$, i.e., $x_r = [p_r^T, v_r^T]^T$, expressed in the global frame $G$ (Latitude-Longitude coordinates). The vehicle is assumed to move according to velocity random walk dynamics, which can be expressed in discrete-time by

$$x_r(k+1) = A_r x_r(k) + w_r(k),$$

where $w_r$ is a discrete-time zero-mean white noise sequence with covariance $Q_r$, given by

$$Q_r = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{q}_x^2 T & 0 & \tilde{q}_x^2 T^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{q}_y^2 T & 0 & \tilde{q}_y^2 T^2 \\ \tilde{q}_x^2 T & 0 & \tilde{q}_x^2 T^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{q}_y^2 T & 0 & \tilde{q}_y^2 T^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\tilde{q}_x$ and $\tilde{q}_y$ are the power spectral densities of the continuous-time process noise $\bar{w}_r$, driving the acceleration in $x$- and $y$-directions, respectively. The velocity random walk motion model is general enough to account for a wide range of moving objects, while being mathematically tractable.

### B. Map Model

Digital maps provide geographical data and location information, which can be used for aligning noisy traces and displaying traversed trajectories. Digital maps are extensively used in modern navigation systems for accurate vehicle guidance and advanced driver-assistance systems (ADAS) functions. To this end, geographical information systems (GISs), which represent the roads as series of links, are employed with map-matching techniques to snap the recorded vehicle trajectory trace (e.g., from a GNSS navigation solution) to the digital road map. Each link includes the driving road centerline coordinates, the lane information, and the road heading angle [9], [52]. A set of map-matched positions for a sample link of a map with unique link identifier $l$ are defined based on $k_{l_n}, s_{l_n}$, and $\tau_{l_n}$, where $k_{l_n} \in \mathbb{N}$ is the lane identifier, $s_{l_n} \in \mathbb{R}$ is the offset from the first map-matched position in link $l$, and $\tau_{l_n}$ is the heading angle. The link $l$ is assumed to comprise $L_N$ map-matched positions, denoted $\{l_n\}_{n=1}^{L_N}$. It can be shown that for any link $l$, there exist two recursive functions $M_l$ and $N_l$ that return the 2-D position vector of the $n$-th map-matched position $p_{mn} = [p_{mx,n}, p_{my,n}]^T$, expressed in the global frame $G$ (see Appendix A), specifically

$$p_{mx,n} = M_l(p_{mx,n-1}, s_{l_n}, s_{l_n-1}, \tau_{l_n-1}, k_{l_n}),$$
$$p_{my,n} = N_l(p_{my,n-1}, s_{l_n}, s_{l_n-1}, \tau_{l_n-1}, k_{l_n}),$$

where $p_{mx} \in \{p_{mx,n}\}_{n=1}^{L_N}$ and $p_{my} \in \{p_{my,n}\}_{n=1}^{L_N}$ represent the 2-D map-matched vehicle position. Fig. 2 shows an example of a digital map including the links and map-matched positions, which are superimposed on a Google Map.

The map used in this study is developed based on an Open Street Map (OSM) database [53] for Riverside, California. OSM is built by a community of mappers that contribute and maintain roads, trails, and railway stations information. A MATLAB-based parser was developed to extract the road coordinates and lane information and interpolate map-matched positions between two successive points with a distance greater than a specified threshold. Fig. 2 shows the interchange road junction between interstate highways 215 and 10 in Riverside, California. This area contains 131 links and 2,441 map-matched positions, which are presented with green lines and brown circles, respectively. The lane identifier and link offset for the 35,146,349-th link of the OSM database and its corresponding map-matched positions are illustrated.

![Fig. 2. An example digital map and its corresponding map-matched points and links.](image)
random vector with covariance $\Sigma_n = \text{diag} [\sigma^2_{m_n}, \sigma^2_{m_n}]$. To find the map-matched vehicle’s position at time-step $k$, while accounting for the map displacement error, the proposed model finds the closest Mahalanobis distance on the map to the estimated vehicle’s position at time-step $k$. The Mahalanobis distance provides a powerful method of measuring how similar some set of observations is to an ideal set of observations with some known mean and covariance. The estimated position and map-matched position of the vehicle at time-step $k$ are assumed to be $\hat{p}_r(k)$ and $\hat{p}_m(k)$, respectively. Subsequently

$$\hat{p}_m(k) = \min_{l_n} \| \hat{p}_r(k) - l_n \| \Sigma_m,$$

where

$$\| \hat{p}_r(k) - l_n \| \Sigma_m = \sqrt{\| \hat{p}_r(k) - l_n \| \Sigma_m^{-1} [\hat{p}_r(k) + l_n]}. $$

Note that $\hat{p}_r(k)$ will be defined later in Subsection IV-C.

C. Pseudorange Observation Model

After discretization and mild approximations, the pseudorange made by the vehicle-mounted receiver on the $n$-th SOP is given by [45]

$$z_{s_n}(k) = \| p_{s_n}(k) - p_{s_n} \|_2 + c\delta t_n(k) + w_{s_n},$$

where $p_{s_n}$ is the location of the $n$-th SOP tower and $w_{s_n}$ is the measurement noise, which is modeled as a discrete-time zero-mean white Gaussian sequence with variance $\sigma^2_{s_n}$. The vector of pseudorange measurements to all $N_s$ SOPs is given by

$$z_s = [z_{s1}, \ldots, z_{sN_s}]^T,$$

and it is assumed that the measurement noise $\{w_{s_n}\}_{n=1}^{N_s}$ are independent.

IV. CLOSED-LOOP MAP-MATCHING FRAMEWORK FOR VEHICLE STATE ESTIMATION USING SOPS

This section describes a closed-loop framework to map-match the vehicle’s position estimate using SOP pseudoranges.

A. Problem Formulation

A vehicle with velocity random walk dynamics (cf. (3)) is assumed to navigate in an environment comprising $N_s$ SOP transmitters. The location of these transmitters are assumed to be known. In Mode 1, GNSS signals are available and GNSS-derived vehicle position-estimates are map-matched to the road map. In Mode 2, GNSS signals become unavailable and the proposed framework:

- uses the last map-matched, GNSS-derived estimates for initialization,
- uses SOP pseudoranges to estimate the vehicle’s position and velocity and map-matches the position estimate with the road network data, and
- continuously estimates the clock error states of the vehicle-mounted receiver and SOP transmitters.

A particle filter is adapted for data fusion, which simultaneously performs both position refinement and clock error estimation. Particle filters are relatively easy to implement [56] and provide a probabilistic framework for fusing digital map data and non-linear, non-Gaussian measurements. Finally, particle filters could track multiple candidate road segments, which helps recover from mismatches.

B. Time Update

In this subsection, the particle filter time update step for both modes is described. The $i$-th particle vector is defined as

$$X^i(k) \triangleq \left[ P^i_r(k), V^i_r(k), D^i_r(k) \right]^T,$$

where $P^i_r(k), V^i_r(k),$ and $D^i_r(k)$ represent the $i$-th particle position, velocity, and clock error state, respectively, at time-step $k$. Note that

$$D^i_r(k) \triangleq [D^i_r(bias, n), D^i_r(drift, n)],$$

where $D^i_r(bias, n)$ and $D^i_r(drift, n)$ represent the $i$-th particle of the difference between the receiver’s clock bias/drift and the nth SOP’s clock bias/drift.

The first step is to draw the initial particles $X^i(0)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$, from an initial probability density $p(x(0))$, where

$$X^i(0) \sim p(x(0)), \quad i = 1, \ldots, N.$$ 

The GNSS-derived estimates before GNSS cutoff is used to initialize the particles. Initially, the particle weights are assumed to be equal, i.e.,

$$w^{(i)}(0) = \frac{1}{N}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N.$$ 

Note that the primed $w^{(i)}(.)$ denotes that the weights are not yet normalized. The particle filter samples sequentially from the sequence of posterior probability density $p(x(k)|z_s(k))$. It can be shown that in order to minimize the variance of the weights at time-step $k$, the prior $p(x(k)|x(k-1))$ must be chosen as the importance density function $q(\cdot)$, namely [57]

$$q[x(k)] = p(x(k)|x(k-1)).$$

The particular choice of importance density function is related to the process noise distribution. Here, for simplicity, samples of the process noise driving the vehicle’s position and velocity $w^i_r$ and clock error $w^i_{clk}$ will be drawn from a Gaussian distribution according to

$$w^i_r(k-1) \sim N[0, Q_r], \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, N,$$

and

$$w^i_{clk}(k-1) \sim N[0, Q_{clk}], \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, N,$$

where $N[\mu, Q]$ denotes a Gaussian distribution with mean $\mu$ and covariance $Q$. Using (1) and (3), the time update of the particles is computed from

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}^i_r(k-1) \\ \mathbf{V}^i_r(k-1) \\ \mathbf{D}^i_r(k-1) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{F} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}^i_r(k) \\ \mathbf{V}^i_r(k) \\ \mathbf{D}^i_r(k) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} w^i_r(k-1) \\ w^i_{clk}(k-1) \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{2 \times 2} & T \mathbf{I}_{2 \times 2} & \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2N_s} \\ \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2} & \mathbf{I}_{2 \times 2} & \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2N_s} \\ \mathbf{0}_{2N_s \times 2} & \mathbf{0}_{2N_s \times 2} & \mathbf{\Phi}_{\text{clk}} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8)$$
C. Measurement Update

When GNSS signals are available (Mode 1), the measurement update stage corrects the propagated particles from the time update stage with (i) SOPs, (ii) digital map data, and (iii) the estimated vehicle’s position obtained from the GNSS navigation solution \( \hat{p}_G \equiv [\hat{p}_{G_x}, \hat{p}_{G_y}]^T \). Hence, the measurement vector \( \mathbf{z}(k) \) takes the form

\[
\mathbf{z}(k) \equiv [z_{s_1}(k), \ldots, z_{s_{N_s}}(k), \hat{p}_G^T(k)]^T.
\]

The error of the estimated position obtained from the GNSS navigation solution is modeled as a zero-mean Gaussian random vector with a horizontal covariance \( \Sigma_{\text{GNSS}} \). To account for the effect of multipath in urban environment navigation, \( \Sigma_{\text{GNSS}} \) consists of nominal errors (e.g., uncertainties in satellite clocks and positions, propagation delays in the ionosphere and troposphere, receiver noise, etc.) as well as multipath, i.e.,

\[
\Sigma_{\text{GNSS}} = \Sigma_{\text{GNSS, nom}} + \Sigma_{\text{GNSS, mp}}
\]

\[
\Sigma_{\text{GNSS, mp}} \approx \sigma_{\text{GNSS, mp}}^2 \text{HDOP}_{2 \times 2},
\]

where \( \Sigma_{\text{GNSS, nom}} \) is the horizontal covariance of the estimated error due to nominal errors, \( \Sigma_{\text{GNSS, mp}} \) is the horizontal covariance of the estimated error due to multipath, HDOP is the horizontal dilution of precision, and \( \sigma_{\text{GNSS, mp}}^2 \) is the contribution of multipath on the GNSS pseudorange measurement noise variance, which can be obtained from multipath models [58], [59].

When GNSS signals become unavailable (Mode 2), the measurement update stage only uses (i) pseudoranges drawn from SOPs and (ii) digital map data to correct the propagated particles. Therefore, \( \mathbf{z}(k) \) only includes SOP measurements, i.e.,

\[
\mathbf{z}(k) \equiv [z_{s_1}(k), \ldots, z_{s_{N_s}}(k)]^T.
\]

Given the particle weights \( W^i(k) \) and the measurement vector at time-step \( k, \mathbf{z}(k) \), the weights are updated according to

\[
W^i(k) = p(\mathbf{z}(k)|\mathbf{x}^i(k))|W^i(k-1), \quad i = 1, \ldots, N.
\]

In deep urban canyons with limited LOS conditions, the likelihood \( p(\mathbf{z}(k)|\mathbf{x}^i(k)) \) could possess very small values, leading to numerical underflow issues. To avoid such problem, the log-likelihood measurement update is employed according to

\[
\ln[\mathcal{W}^i(k)] = \ln\{p(\mathbf{z}(k)|\mathbf{x}^i(k))\} + \ln[W^i(k-1)].
\]

Recall that the pseudorange measurement noise in (6) was modeled as zero-mean independent Gaussian; therefore, (9) can be expressed as

\[
\ln[\mathcal{W}^i(k)] = -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{z}(k) - \mathbf{H}^i(k))^T \Sigma_s^{-1} (\mathbf{z}(k) - \mathbf{H}^i(k)) + \ln[W^i(k-1)],
\]

where, for Mode 1

\[
\mathcal{H}^i(k) = \\
\begin{bmatrix}
||\mathbf{P}^i_r(k) - \mathbf{p}_{s_1}||^2_k + \mathcal{D}x^i_{\text{bias}, 1}(k) \\
\vdots \\
||\mathbf{P}^i_r(k) - \mathbf{p}_{s_{N_s}}||^2_k + \mathcal{D}x^i_{\text{bias}, N_s}(k) \\
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[\Sigma_s = \text{diag} [\sigma^2_{s_1}, \ldots, \sigma^2_{s_{N_s}}, \Sigma_{\text{GNSS}}],\]

and for Mode 2

\[
\mathcal{H}^i(k) = \\
\begin{bmatrix}
||\mathbf{P}^i_r(k) - \mathbf{p}_{s_1}||^2_k + \mathcal{D}x^i_{\text{bias}, 1}(k) \\
\vdots \\
||\mathbf{P}^i_r(k) - \mathbf{p}_{s_{N_s}}||^2_k + \mathcal{D}x^i_{\text{bias}, N_s}(k) \\
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[\Sigma_s = \text{diag} [\sigma^2_{s_1}, \ldots, \sigma^2_{s_{N_s}}].\]

For added numerical robustness, the largest weight is subtracted from each weight before taking the exponent of (10), which yields

\[
\mathcal{W}^i(k) = e^{\ln[\mathcal{W}^i(k)] - \max_i \ln[\mathcal{W}^i(k)]}.
\]

The weights are normalized so that they sum to unity to preserve the fact that the set of particles represent a discrete approximation of the posterior probability density, i.e.,

\[
\mathcal{W}^i(k) = \frac{\mathcal{W}^{\prime i}(k)}{\sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{W}^{\prime i}(k)}.
\]

Finally, the state estimate can be computed according to

\[
\hat{p}_r(k) \approx \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{W}^i(k) \mathbf{P}^i_r(k),
\]

\[
\hat{v}_r(k) \approx \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{W}^i(k) \mathbf{V}^i_r(k),
\]

\[
\Delta \mathbf{x}_{\text{clk}}(k) \approx \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{W}^i(k) \mathbf{D}x^i_{\text{clk}}(k).
\]

The next step is to refine the estimate \( \hat{p}_r(k) \) with the digital map data according to (5). Then, the vehicle’s estimated position is updated to be the nearest map-matched point, i.e.,

\[
\hat{p}_m(k) \equiv \hat{p}_m(k).
\]

Subsequently, estimates \( \hat{p}_r(k) \) and \( \hat{p}_m(k) \) are fed back to correct the clock bias error state estimate according to

\[
e \Delta \delta t''_s(k) = e \Delta \delta t_s(k) + \Delta_{\text{corr}, n}(k),
\]

where

\[
\Delta_{\text{corr}, n}(k) = G_p(\|\hat{p}_m(k) - \mathbf{p}_{s_n}\| - \|\hat{p}_r(k) - \mathbf{p}_{s_n}\|),
\]

where the design parameter \( G_p \in (0, 1) \) is the proportional term of the control loop and is tuned to account for the effect of the measurement noise. In this paper, for \( \sigma^2_{s_n} = 10 \text{ m}^2 \); \( G_p \) was set to 0.85. For higher value of measurement noise,
more than once in the new set, whereas some might disappear completely. One problem associated with using map-matching in a closed-loop scheme is that if incorrect map-matched positions are used to correct for the clock error states, the error will very likely grow even larger. To avoid this, after each measurement, the position estimate is compared to the intersection of pseudoranges received from each SOPs. If the difference is greater than a pre-defined threshold, the resampling approach is used to reset the particles and reestimate the states.

Fig. 3 demonstrates the different parts of the proposed navigation framework, including: time update, measurement update, and digital map update.

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

This section presents simulation results demonstrating the performance of the proposed method described in Section IV. A simulated vehicle with initial access to GNSS (Mode 1) was assumed to navigate in an environment comprising multiple SOPs with a known location and unknown clock states. Pseudoranges from a varying number of SOP towers were simulated. The SOP towers were assumed to be equipped with oven-controlled crystal oscillators (OCXOs), while the vehicle-mounted receiver was assumed to be equipped with a temperature-compensated crystal oscillator (TCXO). The

Algorithm 1: Particle Resampling

Input: \( \mathcal{X}^i(k), \mathcal{W}^i(k), \) and \( N_{\text{thr}} \)

Output: \( \mathcal{X}_{\text{new}}(k) \) and \( \mathcal{W}_{\text{new}}(k) \)

1. Set \( i = 1 \)
2. Calculate \( \hat{N}_{\text{eff}} \)
3. If \( \hat{N}_{\text{eff}} < N_{\text{thr}}, \) choose a random number \( \eta \) on \([0, 1]\) uniformly
4. Find \( \alpha \) such that \( \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{W}^j(k) \leq \eta < \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} \mathcal{W}^j(k) \)
5. Set \( \mathcal{X}_{\text{new}}(k) = \mathcal{X}^\alpha(k) \)
6. Set \( \mathcal{W}_{\text{new}}(k) = \frac{1}{N} \)
7. If \( i < N, \)
   8. Set \( i = i + 1 \)
   9. Go to Step 4
10. Else,
11. Delete the old set of particles
12. Use the new set of particles with new weights
13. End if
14. Else,
15. Do not resample
16. End if

This resampling approach essentially selects new particle weights such that the discrepancy between the particles’ weights is reduced. Note that some old particles might appear
initial distances between the SOP towers and the vehicle were all assumed to be more than 1 km. The simulation settings are given in Table I. Note that in Table I, \( \{ p_{i,j}(0) \}_{j=1}^{4} \) and \( \{ p_{i,n,j}(0) \}_{j=1}^{4} \) represent the vehicle’s initial position (i.e., \( p_{i}(0) \)) and the towers’ positions (i.e., \( p_{i,n} \)) corresponding to the \( j \)-th simulation test, respectively. The simulation environment layout and the trajectory traversed by the vehicle along with the position of the SOP towers for different simulation tests are illustrated in Fig. 4.

Fig. 4. The simulation environment layout, trajectory traversed by the vehicle, and position of SOP towers for different simulation tests: (a) Mode 1: straight segment then turn, (b) Mode 2, scenario 1: urban junctions, (c) Mode 2, scenario 2: highway, and (d) Mode 2, scenario 3: complete stop.

The particle filter was initialized with \( \hat{x}(k|k-1) \sim \mathcal{N}[x(k), P_x(k|k-1)] \), where \( P_x(k|k-1) \equiv \text{diag}[\{ p_{i,n} \}, \{ p_{i,n,j}(k-1) \} \equiv (5) \cdot \text{diag}[1,1], \ P_v(k-1) \equiv (5) \cdot \text{diag}[1,1], \ P_{\Delta x_{n,j}}(k-1) \equiv \text{diag}[3,0.3] \) for \( n = 1, \ldots, N_s \), and \( \hat{x}(k) \) is obtained according to the GNSS-derived estimates in Mode 1.

Navigation in Mode 1 and three different scenarios for navigation in Mode 2 were simulated spanning different driving conditions and access to GNSS signals:

- Mode 1: driving in a straight segment then turning. Here, the vehicle is assumed to have initial access to GNSS signals.
- Mode 2, scenario 1: driving in an urban environment with multiple junctions. Here, GNSS signals were unavailable.
- Mode 2, scenario 2: driving on a highway, while performing lane changing. Here, GNSS signals were unavailable.
- Mode 2, scenario 3: turning at a junction, while requires a complete stop before turning. Here, GNSS signals were unavailable.

Table II summarizes the main features of the different simulation tests.

Assuming the vehicle to stay centered in its driving lane, the road centerline was used as the ground truth. Based on this ground truth, the GNSS navigation solution and the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( P_{i,n}(0) )</td>
<td>Vehicle initial position for Mode 1</td>
<td>[33.9804, -117.3305]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{i,n}(0) )</td>
<td>Vehicle initial position for Mode 2, scenario 1</td>
<td>[34.0374, -117.2239]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{i,n}(0) )</td>
<td>Vehicle initial position for Mode 2, scenario 2</td>
<td>[33.9917, -117.3581]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{i,n}(0) )</td>
<td>Vehicle initial position for Mode 2, scenario 3</td>
<td>[33.9458, -117.4530]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N )</td>
<td>Number of particles</td>
<td>10 to 300 Particles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N_s )</td>
<td>Number of SOP towers</td>
<td>3 to 6 towers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T )</td>
<td>Sampling time</td>
<td>0.5 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDOP</td>
<td>Horizontal dilution of precision</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( G_p )</td>
<td>Proportional term of the control loop</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tau_{mp} )</td>
<td>Gauss-Markov process time constant</td>
<td>1 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_{mp} )</td>
<td>Code phase multipath noise standard deviation</td>
<td>1 m [60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( { \sigma_{n}^2 }_{n=1}^{N_s} )</td>
<td>Measurement noise variance</td>
<td>10 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_{m}^2 )</td>
<td>Map displacement variance</td>
<td>2 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Sigma_{\text{GNSS, nom}} )</td>
<td>GNSS-derived position error covariance</td>
<td>5 × 10⁻⁶ m² [61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tilde{q}_x )</td>
<td>Acceleration noise variance</td>
<td>15 (m/s²)²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tilde{q}_y )</td>
<td>Acceleration noise variance</td>
<td>15 (m/s²)²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( { S_{\tilde{w}<em>{\Delta t,n}} }</em>{n=1}^{N_s} )</td>
<td>Clock bias process noise power spectral density of transmitters</td>
<td>4 × 10⁻²⁰ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( { S_{\tilde{w}<em>{\Delta t,n}} }</em>{n=1}^{N_s} )</td>
<td>Clock drift process noise power spectral density of transmitters</td>
<td>7.89 × 10⁻²² /s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_{\tilde{w}_{\Delta t}} )</td>
<td>Clock drift process noise power spectral density of the receiver</td>
<td>4.7 × 10⁻²⁰ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_{\tilde{w}_{\Delta t}} )</td>
<td>Clock drift process noise power spectral density of the receiver</td>
<td>7.5 × 10⁻²⁰ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( { p_{i,n} }_{n=1}^{N_s} )</td>
<td>Tower positions for Mode 1: straight segment then turning (with initial access to GNSS)</td>
<td>[33.9801, -117.3467]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( { p_{i,n} }_{n=1}^{N_s} )</td>
<td>Tower positions for Mode 2, scenario 1: urban junction (without access to GNSS)</td>
<td>[33.9981, -117.3585]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( { p_{i,n} }_{n=1}^{N_s} )</td>
<td>Tower positions for Mode 2, scenario 2: highway (without access to GNSS)</td>
<td>[34.0320, -117.3767]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( { p_{i,n} }_{n=1}^{N_s} )</td>
<td>Tower positions for Mode 2, scenario 3: complete stop (without access to GNSS)</td>
<td>[33.9972, -117.3674]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
pseudorange measurements drawn from SOPs were generated and corrupted with the additive noise tabulated in Table I. The following subsections present the achieved navigation results with the proposed framework.

A. Results for Mode 1: Straight Segment then Turning (with Initial Access to GNSS)

The following scenario was simulated: a car that has access to GNSS, started driving in a straight segment heading up to a turning point. The true vehicle’s position was corrupted by additive zero-mean Gaussian noise with a horizontal $\Sigma_{\text{GNSS,nom}}$ covariance. In order to simulate the multipath effect, a first-order Gauss-Markov process multipath model [59] is used according to

$$
\epsilon_{\text{mp}}(k+1) = e^{T} \epsilon_{\text{mp}}(k) + w_{\text{mp}}(k),
$$

$$
w_{\text{mp}} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\sigma_{\text{mp}}^2 T}{2} \left(1 - e^{-2T \tau_{\text{mp}}} \right)\right),
$$

where $\epsilon_{\text{mp}}$ is multipath model, $T$ is the sampling time, $\sigma_{\text{mp}}$ is Gauss-Markov process time constant, $w_{\text{mp}}$ is the driving noise, and $\sigma_{\text{mp}}$ is the GNSS code phase multipath noise standard deviation [60]. Note that for a dual-frequency $(f_1, f_2)$ GNSS receiver, $\sigma_{\text{mp}}$ is replaced with

$$
\sigma_{\text{mp}} \leftarrow \sigma_{\text{mp}} \sqrt{\frac{f_2^2}{f_1^2 - f_2^2}^2 + \frac{f_2^2}{f_1^2 - f_2^2}^2}.
$$

During the period of this simulation, the vehicle-mounted receiver produced pseudoranges to four SOPs. Fig. 5 illustrates the traversed path by the vehicle before GNSS become unavailable. It can be seen from Fig. 5 that the estimated position follows closely the ground truth. Moreover, the simulation results demonstrated that the proposed framework achieved a position RMSE of 2.62 m over a trajectory of 800 m while the GNSS-only navigation solution achieved a position RMSE of 4.43 m over the same trajectory. Hence, incorporating the proposed framework reduced the position RMSE by 40.86% in Mode 1.

B. Results for Mode 2, Scenario 1: Urban Junction (without Access to GNSS)

This scenario considered a vehicle driving in an urban street with multiple junctions. The vehicle did not have access to GNSS signals. The test data was simulated on a street in Riverside, California, USA. The data was sampled at 2 Hz. The vehicle drove for 280 m including one crossroads and two three ways. For this simulation, the number of particles was chosen to be 30. Fig. 6 illustrates the achieved results. The white circles represent digital map points (not necessarily the traversed path) and the circles filled with blue represent the ground truth (the traversed path). It can be seen that the particles follow the ground truth closely and the behavior of the discrepancy of particles is consistent even across the junctions. The RMSE of the vehicle’s position with the SOP-only navigation solution was found to be 4.24 m, whereas the RMSE using the proposed map-matching technique was 2.2 m. For a comparative analysis, this simulation was performed using different particle numbers: $N = 10, 30, 50, 100$. Table III compares the navigation performance due to different particle numbers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode/scenario</th>
<th>GNSS access</th>
<th>Number of towers</th>
<th>Path length (m)</th>
<th>Number of particles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mode 1: Straight then turn</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode 2, scenario 1: Urban junctions</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>10 to 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode 2, scenario 2: Highway</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3 to 6</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode 2, scenario 3: Complete stop</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following may be concluded from this simulation. First, the proposed map-matching method reduced the RMSE by 48.11% from the SOP-only navigation solution. Second, using 30 particles for driving in an urban street with multiple junctions would be sufficient for precise navigation while using fewer particles may result in particle discrepancy in junctions and an increase in the RMSE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle Numbers</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>MAX Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.6 m</td>
<td>2.5 m</td>
<td>12.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.2 m</td>
<td>1.4 m</td>
<td>10.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.9 m</td>
<td>1.1 m</td>
<td>3.4 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.9 m</td>
<td>0.9 m</td>
<td>3.1 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. Results for Mode 2, Scenario 2: Highway (without Access to GNSS)

This scenario considered a vehicle driving on the highway at 100 km/h. The GNSS signals were not available in this scenario. The test data was simulated on a street in Riverside, California, USA, which is shown in Fig. 7. It can be seen that the vehicle started moving in the north-east direction. After 200 m, it changed its lane (one lane to the right), and after another 200 m it made a right turn heading north-west. The number of particles was chosen to be $N = 50$, and it was found with several runs that increasing the number of particles to $N > 50$ yielded negligible performance improvement. Fig. 7 illustrates the achieved results. It can be seen that the map-matched estimates followed the ground truth closely. The navigation error is tabulated in Table IV.

![Fig. 6. Simulation results for Mode 2, scenario 1: urban junction (without access to GNSS). Only one-tenth of the particles were plotted to make the navigation solutions visible.](image)

![Fig. 7. Simulation results for Mode 2, scenario 2: highway (without access to GNSS). Only one-tenth of the particles were plotted and map points only around the traversed path are displayed to make the navigation solutions visible.](image)

**TABLE IV**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode 2 Scenario</th>
<th>SOP-only RMSE</th>
<th>Proposed approach RMSE</th>
<th>Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban junctions</td>
<td>4.24 m</td>
<td>2.2 m</td>
<td>48.11 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway</td>
<td>2.42 m</td>
<td>0.97 m</td>
<td>59.91 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete stop</td>
<td>4.93 m</td>
<td>1.28 m</td>
<td>74.03 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to evaluate the impact of the number of SOPs $N_s$ on the navigation solution, this test was conducted for $N_s = 3, 4, 5, 6$ SOPs. The results are tabulated in Table V. The following can be concluded from this simulation. First, with $N_s = 4$, the proposed map-matching approach achieved an RMSE of 0.97 m compared to an RMSE of 2.42 m with an SOP-only navigation solution (See Table IV). The achieved precision is important for lane-changing driving. Second, as can be seen from Table V, the proposed map-matching approach achieved significantly lower estimation error with fewer towers than the case with SOP-Only navigation solution.

**D. Results for Mode 2, Scenario 3: Complete Stop (without Access to GNSS)**

This scenario considered a vehicle driving at a crossroad. GNSS signals were unavailable in this scenario. The vehicle started by moving in the north direction. After 100 m it entered a crossroad and performed a complete stop. After five seconds, it made a right turn to head east. As stated in Subsection III-A, receiver and SOP clock biases are dynamic and stochastic. Therefore, if the vehicle is stationary (e.g., when coming to a complete stop at a turning junction) the clocks are drifting and the navigation solution will naturally drift as time-varying clock biases cannot be distinguished from change in the true range due to the vehicle’s motion. This scenario evaluates the performance of the proposed approach under this driving condition.

![Fig. 8. Illustrates the map-matching results for this scenario. The RMSE using SOP-only navigation solution was found to be 4.93 m, while the proposed method reduced this error to 1.28 m (See Table IV).](image)

**TABLE V**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOP-Only Number of SOPs</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>Max. Error</th>
<th>Proposed approach</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>Max. Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.93 m</td>
<td>15.16 m</td>
<td>1.35 m</td>
<td>8.58 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.42 m</td>
<td>6.37 m</td>
<td>0.97 m</td>
<td>4.42 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.82 m</td>
<td>7.02 m</td>
<td>0.91 m</td>
<td>3.51 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.76 m</td>
<td>4.13 m</td>
<td>0.88 m</td>
<td>2.97 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is worth noting that the vehicle’s dynamical model was assumed to evolve according to a velocity random walk model (cf. (3)), which does not hold for the vehicle coming at a complete stop (unless the process noise is set to zero). Despite this model mismatch, the performance of the proposed approach achieved a RMSE of 1.28 m, which is a 74 % improvement over the SOP-only navigation solution. In order to improve the performance even further, an interacting multiple
model (MM)-type filter can be employed for the transition between stationary and mobile vehicle. In practice, the vehicle would be equipped with an inertial measurement unit (IMU) to propagate the vehicle’s position and velocity states between SOP measurements.

Fig. 8. Simulation results for Mode 2, scenario 3: complete stop (without access to GNSS). Only one-tenth of the particles were plotted to make the navigation solutions visible.

VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithm, three experiments were performed using ambient cellular LTE SOPs and a ground vehicle navigation in (i) an urban environment where multipath severely affects the received LTE signals, (ii) a suburban environment where LTE SOPs have poor geometric diversity, and (iii) a challenging urban environment with multiple junctions and GNSS cutoff conditions, while using pseudorange measurements from only 2 LTE SOPs. This section shows that the proposed algorithm improves the navigation solution in all these environments. The following subsections present the experimental setup and the results for each environment.

A. Experimental Setup and Scenario Description

A ground vehicle was equipped with two consumer-grade 800/1900 MHz cellular omnidirectional Laird antennas to receive LTE signals at two different carrier frequencies. The signals were simultaneously down-mixed and synchronously sampled via a National Instruments (NI) dual-channel universal software radio peripheral (USRP)—2954R®, driven by a GPS-disciplined oscillator (GSPDO). The clock bias and drift process noise power spectral densities of the receiver were set to be $1.3 \times 10^{-22}$ s and $7.89 \times 10^{-25}$ 1/s respectively, according to the oven-controlled crystal oscillator (OCXO) used in a USRP—2954R®. The measurement noise variances $\{\sigma_{sn}^2\}_{n=1}^N$ were set to be 10 m². The LTE and GNSS signals were stored on a laptop for off-line post-processing. The LTE signals were processed and pseudoranges were obtained using the Multichannel Adaptive TRansceiver Information eXtractor (MATRIX) SDR [32]. The proposed algorithm was used to obtain the LTE navigation solution and the results were compared with the ground truth. To obtain a reliable and accurate ground truth, the vehicle was also equipped with a Septentrio AsteRx-i V integrated GNSS-IMU [62], which includes a dual-antenna, multi-frequency GNSS receiver, and a Vectornav VN-100 micro-electromechanical system (MEMS) IMU. Septentrio’s post-processing software development kit (PP-SDK) was used to process the carrier phase observables collected by the AsteRx-i V and by a nearby differential GPS base station to obtain a carrier phase-based navigation solution. Finally, the integrated GNSS-IMU real-time kinematic (RTK) system was used to produce the ground truth results with which the proposed navigation solution was compared. Fig. 9 shows the experimental setup and Table VI summarizes the scenario description for the three experiments.

![Experimental hardware and software setup.](image)

TABLE VI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Number of LTE SOPs</th>
<th>Path length</th>
<th>Number of particles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>825 m</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1500 m</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Challenging</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>345 m</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Experimental Results for Urban Environment

The first experiment was conducted in an urban environment (downtown Riverside, California, USA). It is worth mentioning that due to the lower elevation angles of LTE towers compared to the GNSS satellites, the effect of multipath on LTE signals is typically significantly higher than GNSS signals in urban environments.

In this experiment, the integrated GNSS-IMU system was used to provide the ground truth along the entire trajectory. However, the navigation solution obtained from the GNSS-IMU system is discarded to emulate a GNSS cutoff period. Over the course of the experiment, the ground vehicle traversed 825 m while listening to 5 LTE SOP towers. All LTE towers belonged to the U.S. cellular provider AT&T with the characterisation summarized in Table VII.
TABLE VII
CHARACTERISTICS OF LTE TOWERS USED IN URBAN ENVIRONMENT EXPERIMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LTE SOP</th>
<th>Carrier frequency (MHz)</th>
<th>Cell ID</th>
<th>Bandwidth (MHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1955</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 10 shows the environment layout, LTE SOP positions, true vehicle trajectory, LTE-only navigation solution, and estimated vehicle trajectory using the proposed closed-loop map-matching algorithm. Table VIII compares the navigation performance obtained by the proposed algorithm versus that of the LTE-only navigation solution. It can be seen that incorporating the proposed map-matching algorithm reduced the position RMSE by 74.88% from the RMSE obtained by a LTE-only navigation solution.

![Fig. 10. The environment layout, LTE SOP positions, true vehicle trajectory, LTE-only navigation solution using the method presented in [63], and estimated vehicle trajectory using the proposed algorithm. Image: Google Earth](image)

TABLE VIII
NAVIGATION PERFORMANCE COMPARISON IN AN URBAN ENVIRONMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance measure</th>
<th>LTE-only navigation solution</th>
<th>Proposed approach</th>
<th>Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>6.37 m</td>
<td>1.6 m</td>
<td>74.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>2.09 m</td>
<td>0.65 m</td>
<td>68.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum error</td>
<td>11.18 m</td>
<td>3.74 m</td>
<td>66.55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 11 shows the estimated difference between the receiver’s and each LTE SOP’s clock biases and corresponding variances. It can be seen that using the proposed algorithm the estimated variances remain stable in the course of the experiment. Since the actual receiver’s and eNodeBs’ clock biases are not available, it is impossible to show the estimation errors.

![Fig. 11. Estimated difference between the receiver’s clock bias and each LTE SOP clock bias and corresponding variance.](image)

Fig. 11 shows the estimated difference between the receiver’s and each LTE SOP’s clock biases and corresponding variances. It can be seen that using the proposed algorithm the estimated variances remain stable in the course of the experiment. Since the actual receiver’s and eNodeBs’ clock biases are not available, it is impossible to show the estimation errors.

Next, the effect of the clock difference correction (cf. (12)) on the achievable accuracy was evaluated. To this end, the stored data of this experiment was processed with and without clock difference correction. The results are shown in Table IX. In this table, the RMSE of the estimated position as well as the percentage of the points, which were incorrectly map-matched, are tabulated. As can be seen, the proposed closed-loop framework (i.e., with clock difference correction) significantly improves the open-loop solution accuracy (i.e., without clock difference correction).

![Fig. 11. Estimated difference between the receiver’s clock bias and each LTE SOP clock bias and corresponding variance.](image)

TABLE IX
ESTIMATION PERFORMANCE WITH AND WITHOUT CLOCK DIFFERENCE CORRECTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>% of incorrectly map-matched points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open-loop</td>
<td>3.69 m</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed-loop</td>
<td>1.6 m</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Experimental Results for Suburban Environment

The second experiment was conducted in a suburban environment, using only 2 LTE SOP towers with poor geometry. Over the course of the experiment, the vehicle-mounted receiver traversed a total trajectory of 1.5 km while listening to 2 LTE SOPs simultaneously. Characteristics of the LTE SOPs are presented in Table X.

![Fig. 11. Estimated difference between the receiver’s clock bias and each LTE SOP clock bias and corresponding variance.](image)

TABLE X
CHARACTERISTICS OF LTE TOWERS USED IN SUBURBAN ENVIRONMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LTE SOP</th>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Carrier frequency (MHz)</th>
<th>Cell ID</th>
<th>Bandwidth (MHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>T-Mobile</td>
<td>2145</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AT&amp;T</td>
<td>1955</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This experiment studied the performance as the vehicle turned along the road, crossed junctions, and came to a complete stop. Fig. 12 shows the environment layout, LTE SOP positions, true vehicle trajectory, LTE-only navigation solution provided in [64], and estimated vehicle trajectory using the proposed algorithm. Table XI compares the navigation performance obtained by the proposed algorithm versus that of the LTE-only navigation solution. It can be seen that the proposed approach is robust in areas with poor geometric diversity and a limited number of LTE SOPs. The experimental results show that the incorporating the proposed map-matching algorithm reduced the position RMSE by 58.15% from the RMSE obtained by a LTE-only navigation solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE XI</th>
<th>NAVIGATION PERFORMANCE COMPARISON IN A SUBURBAN ENVIRONMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Performance measure</td>
<td>LTE-only navigation solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>9.32 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>4.36 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum error</td>
<td>33.47 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Experimental Results for a Challenging Urban Environment

To assess the robustness of the proposed framework, the third experiment was conducted in a challenging environment in downtown Riverside, California. Here, an urban street with multiple junctions was chosen. The drive test included 9 s of complete stop before the cross junction in a GNSS cutoff condition. The streets were surrounded by tall buildings from both sides and only 2 LTE towers were available in the environment. Over the course of the experiment, the vehicle traveled 345 m while listening to only 2 LTE towers with characteristics summarized in Table XII.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE XII</th>
<th>CHARACTERISTICS OF LTE TOWERS USED IN THE THIRD EXPERIMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LTE SOP</td>
<td>Operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>T-Mobile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AT&amp;T &amp; T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ground truth was produced using GNSS-IMU RTK system described in Subsection VI-A. The GPS-only navigation solution was obtained by only using GPS pseudoranges to emulate a low-cost technology. To evaluate the performance of the proposed framework in the absence of GPS signals, while using signals from only 2 LTE transmitters, the GPS-only navigation solution was discarded over a portion of 90 m of the total trajectory.

Fig. 13 demonstrates the environment layout along with the location of the LTE transmitters, true vehicle trajectory, GPS-only navigation solution, GPS-LTE navigation solution, and the estimated vehicle trajectory using the proposed framework. GPS cutoff point, GPS back in point, and the vehicle’s stop point at the cross junction is also demonstrated in this figure. Moreover, the effect of the clock difference correction (cf. (12)) on the achievable accuracy is shown. Table XIII compares the navigation performance of the proposed framework versus that of the GPS-only and GPS-LTE navigation solutions. The following may be concluded from these results. First, when GPS signals were unavailable the GPS-only navigation solution drifted from the ground truth. This is due to the fact that the aiding corrections from GPS signals were not available. As expected, the proposed framework did not exhibit this drift as LTE signals were used as an aiding source. Second, it can be seen from these results that the proposed closed-loop map-matching navigation framework outperforms the GPS-LTE solutions. The results demonstrated a position RMSE of 6.69 m using GPS-LTE framework and RMSE of 3.6 m using the proposed close-loop map-matching method. Hence, incorporating the presented method reduced the position RMSE by 46.18%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE XIII</th>
<th>NAVIGATION PERFORMANCE COMPARISON IN A GNSS-CHALLENGED URBAN ENVIRONMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Performance measure</td>
<td>GPS-only navigation solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>11.26 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>7.68 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum error</td>
<td>18.15 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

A method for ground vehicle localization in GNSS-challenged environments using road information from digital maps and ambient LTE SOPs was proposed. The main contribution of the work was to develop a closed-loop particle filter-based framework that fuses LTE pseudoranges with digital maps to estimate the vehicle’s state, simultaneously with the difference between the vehicle-mounted receiver’s and LTE SOPs’ clock bias and drift. The proposed method used a displacement information as a feedback source to continuously estimate the clock states for all LTE transmitters. The proposed approach operates in two modes: GNSS signals are available (Mode 1) and GNSS signals are unavailable (Mode 2). Simulation and experimental results were presented demonstrating the efficacy and accuracy of the proposed framework in different driving environments (urban and suburban) and under different driving conditions (junctions, highway with lane change, and complete stop). The experimental results demonstrated a position RMSE of (i) 1.6 m over a 825 m trajectory in an urban environment with 5 LTE SOPs, (ii) 3.9 m over a 1.5 km trajectory in a suburban environment with 2 LTE SOPs, and (iii) 3.6 m over a 345 m trajectory in a challenging urban environment with 2 LTE SOPs. Moreover, it was demonstrated that incorporating the proposed map-matching algorithm reduced the position RMSE by 74.88% and 58.15% in urban and suburban environments, respectively, from the RMSE obtained by a LTE-only navigation.
Fig. 12. Environment layout, LTE SOPs’ positions, true vehicle trajectory, LTE-only navigation solution, and estimated vehicle trajectory using the proposed algorithm. (a) Complete stop before turning point, (b) LTE SOPs’ positions, (c) vehicle’s trajectory and environment layout, (d) junction point, and (e) turning point. Image: Google Earth.

Fig. 13. The environment layout along with the location of LTE transmitters, true vehicle trajectory, GPS-only navigation solution, GPS-LTE navigation solution, and estimated vehicle trajectory using the proposed algorithm. Image: Google Earth.

While this paper considered a simple feedback mechanism, more sophisticated feedback algorithms, such as multi-hypothesis, could be investigated in future work in an attempt to improve the robustness. In addition, while this work considered a simple statistical model for the vehicle dynamics, future work could consider using other sensors (e.g., lidar and INS) to obtain the vehicle’s odometry, which reduces the model mismatch between the assumed vehicle’s dynamics model and the vehicle’s true motion.

APPENDIX A
DERIVATION OF EQUATION (4)

Fig. 14 illustrates \((n-1)\)-th and \(n\)-th map-matched points in link \(l\). The distance between two adjacent points in a road centerline takes the form

\[
 d = s_{l_n} - s_{l_{n-1}}.
\]

Thus,

\[
 AD = (s_{l_n} - s_{l_{n-1}}) \cos(\tau_{l_{n-1}}),
\]

\[
 BD = (s_{l_n} - s_{l_{n-1}}) \sin(\tau_{l_{n-1}}).
\]

The distance \(d' = \alpha k_{l_n}\), where \(\alpha\) and \(k_{l_n}\) represent lane width and lane identifier, respectively, for the \(n\)-th map-matched point. As can be seen from Fig. 14

\[
 \beta + \gamma = 90^\circ,
\]

\[
 \tau_{l_{n-1}} + \gamma = 90^\circ.
\]

Thus, the angle \(\beta\) equals the heading angle of the previous point \(\tau_{l_{n-1}}\). Therefore,

\[
 CE = \alpha k_{l_n} \sin(\tau_{l_{n-1}}),
\]

\[
 BC = \alpha k_{l_n} \cos(\tau_{l_{n-1}}).
\]
The coordinates of \( n \)-th map-matched point can be computed based on the \((n - 1)\)-th point according to

\[
p_{mx,n} = p_{mx,n-1} + AD + CE,
p_{my,n} = p_{my,n-1} + BD - BC,
\]

which yields

\[
M_l = p_{mx,n-1} + (s_{i_n} - s_{i_{n-1}}) \cos(\tau_{n-1}) + \alpha k_i \sin(\tau_{n-1}),
N_l = p_{my,n-1} + (s_{i_n} - s_{i_{n-1}}) \sin(\tau_{n-1}) - \alpha k_i \cos(\tau_{n-1}).
\]
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